Microscopic colitis—microbiome, barrier function and associated diseases
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Abstract: Microscopic colitis (MC) is a chronic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) with little in terms of endoscopic abnormalities and is frequently associated with other autoimmune diseases. The peak incidence of the disease is in middle aged or older populations, mostly females. The pathogenesis of MC is complex, multifactorial and poorly understood. Current concepts revolve around innate immunity or microbiome alterations as well as gut barrier dysfunction, all of which lead to the development of subtle inflammatory lesions in gut mucosa. The results of numerous basic and clinical studies involving molecular techniques as well as advanced endoscopic imaging revealed the important role of both intrinsic (e.g., hormonal) as well as extrinsic (e.g., NSAIDs and PPIs) factors in the modulation of gastrointestinal microbiome and MC pathogenesis. Capsule endoscopy as well confocal endomicroscopy imaging, alongside standard endoscopic techniques offer new tools in the evaluation of MC patients and allow their better stratification for novel treatment protocols based on modulation of gut microbiome and barrier function.
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Introduction

Microscopic colitis (MC) is a chronic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) with few or no endoscopic abnormalities (1-3). Patients with MC present chronic, non-bloody watery diarrhoea, however some may suffer from constipation, abdominal pain or even remain symptom free (4,5). Patients with MC are more often middle aged or older women in their seventh decade of life. According to several reports (6) there is steady increase in MC incidence, currently around 10 cases per 100,000 person years, almost comparable to the incidence of other IBDs, such as ulcerative colitis (UC) or Crohn’s disease (CD) (7,8). Of note, MC has been regarded as a subgroup within IBD (8).

The two main subtypes of MC are lymphocytic colitis (LC) and collagenous colitis (CC) (9). Epithelial damage and inflammation in the lamina propria, mainly with mononuclear cells, are observed in both diseases. In LC, the intraepithelial lymphocyte (IEL) count is ≥20/100 epithelial cells and the sub-epithelial collagen layer <10 μm thick. In CC, the IEL count may be increased, but a thickening of the sub-epithelial collagen layer ≥10 μm is necessary.
for the diagnosis. It has been suggested that both subtypes could be considered as histological subtypes of the same disease, and that in clinical trials, all MC patients could be included (10). Most recently, the type of MC incomplete (MGI) with histopathological changes that are not fulfilling the classic MC criteria has also been described in patients with chronic diarrhoea and normal or close to normal endoscopic findings (1,11). The differential diagnosis between the complete and incomplete MC types remains the pathologist's challenge and impacts on decision making in clinical practice (12).

MC has significant impact on the health-related quality of life of the patients affected (13). The main aim of medical therapy is to improve the quality of life and achieve clinical remission. In patients with recurrent disease, MC recurrence prevention is desirable. The recommended primary treatment of MC is with the glucocorticoid budesonide (9,14). Antidiarrhoeals or colestyramine only can be considered in MC patients with milder symptoms. In case of relapse, budesonide can be used again either as intermittent or as low-dose continuous therapy. In patients with milder symptoms who do not respond to budesonide, alterative drugs are recommended, such as colestyramine, aminosalicylates or bismuth (9). In some patients MC could be triggered by the use of certain medications, in particular non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), aspirin, proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), anti-diabetic drugs, and/or antidepressants (15-17). In these cases discontinuation of the medication is the easiest; however not always sufficient solution to treat the MC. Although the primary response to budesonide is often good, with response rate of around 80% (14), relapses occur often (60–80%) when the treatment is stopped (9,18). Besides the relapses, patients in remission can still suffer from persisting symptoms such as abdominal pain, fatigue, arthralgia or myalgia several years after diagnosis (9). The use of anti-TNF-alpha monoclonal antibodies (MoAb) (infliximab, adalimumab) or biosimilars is reserved for the induction of remission in severe cases of MC that fail to respond to corticosteroids or immunomodulators, as an alternative to colectomy (12).

MC has been linked to mucosal inflammation, autoimmune diseases and gut microbiota composition, all of which are also linked to gut barrier function. In this review we would like to summarize the current research to MC in these fields, and to explore the possible roles gut barrier function or microbiota might play in the occurrence and/or treatment of MC.

**Etiopathology of MC**

The pathophysiological cause of MC is unknown, but recent hypotheses revolve around mucosal inflammation, microbiome and gut barrier alterations. Several extrinsic (environmental) as well as intrinsic (endocrine) factors might play a role in the pathogenesis of the disease.

**Epithelial stress and mucosal inflammation**

Multiple studies have investigated different mechanisms that might be involved in the development of mucosal inflammation in MC. An increase in the number of T-IELs suggests a specific mucosal immune response to luminal factors in predisposed individuals. Infiltration of CD8+ T lymphocytes has been found in the epithelium of both LC and CC patients, whereas the amount of CD4+ T cells seems to be reduced in the epithelium (19). In the lamina propria, most T lymphocytes seem to be CD4+ (20). Both CD4 and CD8 T cells of MC patients seem to be less active compared to controls (21). Eosinophils also play a role in MC. Increased levels of luminal levels of eosinophilic cationic protein (CP) and an increased number of activated eosinophils in the colonic mucosa have been found in patients with CC (21,22).

Biopsies of sigmoid colon from patients with CC, incubated for 48 h in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) medium, produced increased levels of IFN-γ, TNA-α and IL-1 compared to controls (23). Levels of IL-8 and IL-13 did not differ between the groups. Other studies have confirmed the presence of a pro-inflammatory cytokine profiles in biopsies of MC patients (Figure 1) (24-26). Although these studies give an idea of the different mechanisms that may be involved in the development of the mucosal inflammation in MC, the pathophysiological role of these findings is still unclear.

**MC and barrier dysfunction**

The gut barrier is a complex, multicomponent system in which different cell types in and around the gut wall work together to prevent unwanted translocation of components from the lumen of the gut into the body. Perturbations in the gut barrier function can be due to poor nutrition, infection or other illnesses. This can lead to increased intestinal permeability, the rate of flux of molecules across the epithelium (27). Increased intestinal permeability is associated with a variety of gastrointestinal (GI) disorders,
including IBD, irritable bowel syndrome and celiac disease (28). Mucosal barrier dysfunction has also been described in IBD and MC patients (29). Table 1 summarizes studied genes associated with gut barrier alterations in IBD and relevant to MC. This is not surprisingly, since inflammation per se already influences intestinal permeability (43). In CC patients, Ussing chamber experiments with endoscopic biopsies from the sigmoid colon showed that the trans-epithelial resistance was increased during active disease compared to remission and controls (23,44). However, the uptake of chemically killed Escherichia coli K12 in the same model was increased during both active disease and remission (44), as compared with controls, suggesting an underlying barrier dysfunction as cause of frequent and rapid relapses in CC. Small bowel permeability, as measured in vivo with 14C-labelled mannitol and 99mTc-labelled diethylenetriamine-pentaacetic acid seemed to be not altered in CC patients compared with controls (45).

Budesonide seems to affect the mucosal barrier function, it has anti-proliferative effects on the epithelial cells, which might impair wound healing (46). During significant mucosal injury, it dampens the immune response, causing bacterial translocation and endotoxemia. In a group of LC patients treated with budesonide for at least 4 weeks, no increase in the trans-epithelial resistance was found in Ussing chamber experiments with endoscopic biopsies compared to biopsies of active disease patients (23). Among other factors related to MC incidence are sex hormones (estrogens and progesterone), which have been implicated in anti-inflammatory and epithelial barrier-enhancing properties in animal models of colitis (47,48). The hormonal shifts at the time of menopause could serve as an explanation of the MC increased prevalence among middle-aged women (49).

Numerous video capsule endoscopy (VCE) studies reported that various doses of NSAIDs were responsible for gut mucosal injury with the prevalence ranging from 30% to 80% (50). It has been reported that NSAIDs therapy alters the intestinal barrier function and leads to increased intestinal permeability (51). NSAIDs therapy has been associated with MC; however direct relationship as well as cause and effect of such treatment lacks clinical validation. In fact, some recent reports are against such associations. Zagorowicz et al. (52) found subtle physiological and histopathological differences between the bowel segments in chronic low-dose aspirin users but observed no specific MC features in asymptomatic individuals. It is tempting to speculate that NSAIDs trigger MC through alterations in gut microbiota (52,53) This hypothesis is strengthen by the reports of frequent use of PPIs among CC patients (13). PPIs are frequently combined with NSAIDs and such long-term co-therapy could augment the toxic effect of NSAIDs by induction of dysbiosis. The use of PPIs has been considered as an independent risk factor for small bowel injury in patients with various autoimmune pathologies [e.g., rheumatoid arthritis (54,55)]. The effect of PPIs therapy on GI microbiota composition and function has recently gained scientific attention. PPIs alter various taxa in different regions of GI tract (51,56-59). The hypothesis

![Figure 1 Simplified scheme representing immune alterations during mucosal inflammation.](image-url)
that gut microbiota play a role in the pathogenesis of MC is interesting, however, poorly studied yet. Below we discuss the current knowledge concerning the role of human microbiome in MC based on available literature.

**MC and microbiome**

The gut microbiota play an important role in influencing epithelial barrier functions through the production of short chain fatty acids and interactions with innate immune system, including pattern recognition receptors in the mucosa, driving the expression of mucus and antimicrobial factors (27). In a patient with UC, new onset MC developed after fecal microbiota transplantation (60). This also happened in 2/146 patients who received fecal microbiota transplantation to treat recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection (61). In a case series with two patients with CC, the presence of potentially pathogenic *Bacteroides* spp. were found in biopsies from the ascending colon, but overall the colon microbiota showed similarities to a healthy one (62). In a group of ten patients with onset of MC, decreased levels of *Akkermansia muciniphila* were found in the fecal samples (63), although this finding might be a consequence of the diarrhoea, and not specific of the MC (64,65). Of interest, Sapp *et al.* (66) studied the involvement of the distal small intestine in patients with LC and CC. The authors compared the results of those with MC with the results of intestinal biopsies obtained from patients with IBD (CD and UC) and healthy individuals without colonic pathology concluding that intraepithelial lymphocytosis is present in the terminal ileum of patients with LC or CC and may be helpful in distinguishing LC or CC from other forms of IBD in challenging cases. Moreover, this study revealed that the terminal ileum may be involved by a similar pathogenic process as the colon in MC. Of note none of the patients in Sapp *et al.* (66) study had celiac disease—the observation, which makes the scenario that gut microbes are involved in MC pathogenesis interesting. Caminero *et al.* (67) showed that intestinal bacteria affect mucosal immunogenicity. It is tempting to speculate that other factors (e.g., NSAIDs, smoking or stress)—factors frequently associated with microbiome alterations in the gut—contribute to MC pathology (63). Overall, these are all small studies which might give a clue about a possible role of the microbiota in

---

**Table 1 Selected IBD candidate genes associated with epithelial barrier dysfunction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Gene/protein name</th>
<th>Key functions of the protein in the gut</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>HNF4α</em></td>
<td>Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α</td>
<td>Regulation of the expression of intestinal cells genes including apolipoprotein A-I, A-IV, B, guanylyl cyclase C, CYP3A4, intestinal alkaline phosphatase, and meprin 1α</td>
<td>(30,31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>CDH1</em></td>
<td>E-cadherin</td>
<td>Maintaining the cell adhesion between intestinal epithelial cells; being component of adherens junctions</td>
<td>(31,32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>MEP1A</em></td>
<td>Meprin 1A</td>
<td>Cleaving laminin, TJ proteins and cytokines within brush-border membrane</td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>NOD2/CARD15</em></td>
<td>Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain containing 2/caspase recruitment domain family, member 15</td>
<td>Epithelial cells innate immunity activation</td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ATG16L1</em></td>
<td>Autophagy-related protein 16-1</td>
<td>Mediating NOD-2 directed autophagy</td>
<td>(35,36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xbp1</em></td>
<td>X-box-binding protein 1</td>
<td>Transcription factor; mediator of Paneth cell impairment and endoplasmic reticulum stress</td>
<td>(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>STAT3</em></td>
<td>Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3</td>
<td>Survival and proliferation of intestinal epithelial cells while injured; mediating cytokine signaling</td>
<td>(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>CLDN</em></td>
<td>Claudin family (namely, claudins 1–5, 8, 15)</td>
<td>TJ structure component; barrier and ion and water channels forming</td>
<td>(39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>GATM</em></td>
<td>Glycine amidinotransferase</td>
<td>Endogenous creatine synthesis</td>
<td>(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>MUC3A</em></td>
<td>Mucin 3A</td>
<td>Mucin in inner mucus layer</td>
<td>(41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>MUC19</em></td>
<td>Mucin 19</td>
<td>Mucin secretion in outer mucus layer</td>
<td>(42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MC, but much larger studies are necessary to unravel the role of the gut microbiota in MC.

**The emerging role of high-definition and super-magnifying endoscopy**

The endoscopic and histopathologic criteria for the diagnosis of MC were developed in an era of low-definition endoscopic imaging. The subtle mucosal changes characteristic for MC at that time were simply not observed. New high-definition endoscopic equipment with zoom adjustable high resolution sharp images enable a new vision of colonic mucosa in MC suspected patients. Also other new endoscopic devices with super-magnifying vision in the future might have found their place in MC diagnosis: (I) confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE; Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France; and Pentax, Tokyo, Japan) and (II) an endocytoscopy system (ECS; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). These endoscopes are unique as they generate the picture, which allows *in vivo* microscopic observation of the microstructural mucosa of the GI tract (68,69). The new endoscopic devices might be of particular use in MC diagnosis and management by enabling detection of mucosal enteric infections. For example ECS system was much more efficacious in comparison to serologic or histopathologic diagnostic tests in confirming amoebic colitis in *in vivo* examinations (70). Super-magnifying endoscopes open up new avenues for *in vivo* identification of microbial enteric infections. These infections are difficult to measure in contemporary clinical practice, but their presence has been strongly postulated to trigger post-infectious alterations of GI mucosa.

**MC and association with other diseases**

MC patients have a higher prevalence of certain diseases, including a number of autoimmune pathology (8,10,71,72). The diseases most commonly found to coexist with MC are bile acid diarrhoea and autoimmune diseases such as celiac disease, thyroid disease, diabetes mellitus (DM) and rheumatoid arthritis (10). In a recent study with 547 MC patients, increased prevalence of thyroid diseases, rheumatoid arthritis, Raynaud/Crest syndrome, celiac disease and IBS was found compared to a control group (73).

Bile acid diarrhoea is caused by excess bile acid concentration entering the colon. It was initially identified in patients who had undergone resection of the terminal ileum and in patients with ileal CD (27,74). The biochemical structure of certain bile acids has been shown to induce fluid secretion, increase mucosal permeability and produce mucosal damage (46). One study found that 44% of the patients with CC had bile acid diarrhoea (75). Patients with both MC and bile acid diarrhoea usually respond well to bile acid binding treatment (76).

In a cohort of celiac disease patients, 5% of the individuals also had MC, which is higher than in the general population (77). The other way around, in a Swedish cohort of 795 patients with MC, celiac disease occurred in 6% of the patients, whereas the overall occurrence of celiac disease in Sweden is around 3% (78). Celiac disease is an autoimmune disorder primarily affecting the small intestine. The disease is characterized by a strong immunological reaction to gluten. In contrast to MC it has a strong genetic component. The only known effective treatment is a lifelong diet free of gluten.

Some of the diseases associated with MC, like bile acid diarrhoea, celiac disease, IBS and DM, are associated with an impaired intestinal barrier function and it has been suggested that impaired barrier function plays a role in the development of autoimmune diseases (79-82). The graphic simplified scheme of GI disease overlap and pertaining to gut barrier alterations has been presented in Figure 2. It is therefore tempting to initiate new clinical studies investigating the role of barrier function in patients with MC and other autoimmune diseases.

---

**Figure 2** Simplified scheme illustrating the role of microbiota and gut barrier alterations in the overlap of IBD related disorders. IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; MC, microscopic colitis.
Novel therapeutic insights in MC—the role for microbiota management?

Modulation of gut microbiota is an attractive clinical scenario of eliminating gut injury associated with mucosal inflammation. Scarpignato et al. (83) in their recent clinical trial delivered the evidence that intestinal bacteria contribute to the development of NSAIDs-associated enteropathy in humans. In their study, the use of Rifaximine, a non-absorbable antibiotic, contributed to less advanced mucosal lesions in patients treated with NSAIDs.

Another way to interact with the microbiota is by giving probiotics. Probiotics are defined as: live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host (84). They have been found to be beneficial in patients with UC or IBS (85), but not so much in patients with CD (86,87). Even when the total microbiota composition is not changed so much by giving probiotics (88), the use of probiotics still has potential for MC, due to changes in microbiota activity, promoting gut microbiota homeostasis and/or immunomodulatory properties (89,90). Another rationale to test probiotics in MC patients, is the protective effects they can have on the epithelial barrier function (91). In pouchitis patients, the intake of a multispecies probiotic (Ecologic825) significantly reduced the passage of E. coli in Ussing chamber experiments (92). In the same model increased E. coli passage was found for CC patients, as mentioned before (44). So it would be interesting to see whether the probiotic has the same effects in MC patients compared with colitis patients.

Some research has been conducted with probiotics in patients with MC. A first open-label trial was done in Germany in 14 patients with CC with the probiotic strain Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 (93). This study found a reduction of the stool frequency and the stool consistency. A double-blinded, placebo controlled trial was done in Denmark in 29 patients with CC with a combination of the bacterial strains Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-5 and Bifidobacterium animalis supsp. lactis BB/12 (94). No significant clinical response to the probiotic intervention was found in this small study, but a trend toward increased stool consistency was found. This was supported by a post hoc analysis showing significant changes in the probiotic group with regards to bowel frequency and faecal consistency (94). An open labelled study in 30 patients from India, with the probiotic mixture VSL#3, suggested that the probiotic could induce short-term clinical response and improve associated symptoms, but no histological response (95). Overall, there is limited research done in patients with MC with probiotics and properly powered trials are required to be able to draw any conclusions. Before starting a large double-blinded, placebo controlled trial, pilots experiments might be necessary to find the most promising patient group, treatment duration and probiotic strains. It is important to keep in mind that properties of probiotic bacteria can be highly variable between strains (96,97), so a good selection of strains is necessary.

Conclusions

Since the first description of MC is 1982, it has become clear that the condition occurs quite often, predominantly in women older than 60 years. Smoking and the use of certain medicine are risk factors to develop the disease. New endoscopic techniques delivering high definition and high-resolution images capable of enhanced visualisation of GI mucosa as well as super magnifying endoscopy (e.g., confocal laser endomicroscopy) open up new avenues in the diagnosis and management of not so evident up to now clinical entities. Modulation of gut microbiota is an attractive therapeutic solution for MC patients. The intestinal barrier function is impaired in MC patients, and this is not restored during budesonide use. This might be a cause of the high relapse rate seen for this disease. It can be speculated that the use of probiotics or other agents capable of modulation of gut microbiota and intestinal barrier might benefit MC patients, but the current evidence is very limited and further clinical studies are necessary to see if probiotics or other new pharmacologic molecules can play a role in the treatment of prevention of relapse of the disease.
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